United States District Court

for the

Southern District of Ohio

Southern District of Onio		
United States of America v. Yu Zhou Defendant)) Case No. 2:19-cr-163(1))	
ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL		
Part I - Eligibility for Detention		
Upon the		
☐ Motion of the Government attorney pursua ☐ Motion of the Government or Court's own the Court held a detention hearing and found that detention and conclusions of law, as required by 18 U.S.C. § 3142(i)	motion pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(2), is warranted. This order sets forth the Court's findings of fact	
•	w as to Presumptions under § 3142(e)	
and the community because the following conditions (1) the defendant is charged with one of the following (a) a crime of violence, a violation of 18 § 2332b(g)(5)(B) for which a maximum (b) an offense for which the maximum s (c) an offense for which a maximum term Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. §§ (21 U.S.C. §§ 951-971), or Chapter 705 (d) any felony if such person has been controlled (a) through (c) of this paragraph, or two	ditions will reasonably assure the safety of any other person have been met: ollowing crimes described in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1): 3 U.S.C. § 1591, or an offense listed in 18 U.S.C. term of imprisonment of 10 years or more is prescribed; or mentence is life imprisonment or death; or m of imprisonment of 10 years or more is prescribed in the § 801-904), the Controlled Substances Import and Export Act of Title 46, U.S.C. (46 U.S.C. §§ 70501-70508); or onvicted of two or more offenses described in subparagraphs or more State or local offenses that would have been offenses	
jurisdiction had existed, or a combinatio		
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	me of violence but involves: a firearm or destructive device (as defined in 18 U.S.C. § 921);) a failure to register under 18 U.S.C. § 2250; <i>and</i>	

(3) the offense described in paragraph (2) above for which the defendant has been convicted was committed while the defendant was on release pending trial for a Federal, State, or local offense; and

(2) the defendant has previously been convicted of a Federal offense that is described in 18 U.S.C.

to Federal jurisdiction had existed; and

§ 3142(f)(1), or of a State or local offense that would have been such an offense if a circumstance giving rise

(4) a period of not more than five years has elapsed since the date of conviction, or the release of the defendant from imprisonment, for the offense described in paragraph (2) above, whichever is later.

B. Rebuttable Presumption Arises Under 18 U.S.C. § 3142(e)(3) (narcotics, firearm, other offenses): There is a
rebuttable presumption that no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the appearance of the defendant as required and the safety of the community because there is probable cause to believe that the defendant committed one or more of the following offenses:
\square (1) an offense for which a maximum term of imprisonment of 10 years or more is prescribed in the
Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. §§ 801-904), the Controlled Substances Import and Export Act (21 U.S.C. §§ 951-971), or Chapter 705 of Title 46, U.S.C. (46 U.S.C. §§ 70501-70508);
(2) an offense under 18 U.S.C. §§ 924(c), 956(a), or 2332b;
(3) an offense listed in 18 U.S.C. § 2332b(g)(5)(B) for which a maximum term of imprisonment of 10 years or more is prescribed;
(4) an offense under Chapter 77 of Title 18, U.S.C. (18 U.S.C. §§ 1581-1597) for which a maximum term of imprisonment of 20 years or more is prescribed; or
(5) an offense involving a minor victim under 18 U.S.C. §§ 1201, 1591, 2241, 2242, 2244(a)(1), 2245, 2251, 2251A, 2252(a)(1), 2252(a)(2), 2252(a)(3), 2252A(a)(1), 2252A(a)(2), 2252A(a)(3), 2252A(a)(4), 2260, 2421, 2422, 2423, or 2425.
☐ C. Conclusions Regarding Applicability of Any Presumption Established Above
☐ The defendant has not introduced sufficient evidence to rebut the presumption above.
OR
☐ The defendant has presented evidence sufficient to rebut the presumption, but after considering the presumption and the other factors discussed below, detention is warranted.
Part III - Analysis and Statement of the Reasons for Detention
After considering the factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) and the information presented at the detention hearing the Court concludes that the defendant must be detained pending trial because the Government has proven:
By clear and convincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the community.
⊠By a preponderance of evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the defendant's appearance as required.
In addition to any findings made on the record at the hearing, the reasons for detention include the following:
 ☐ Weight of evidence against the defendant is strong ☐ Subject to lengthy period of incarceration if convicted ☐ Prior criminal history
Participation in criminal activity while on probation, parole, or supervision
History of violence or use of weapons
History of alcohol or substance abuse
Lack of stable employment
Lack of stable residence
Lack of financially responsible sureties
Lack of significant community or family ties to this district
Significant family or other ties outside the United States

AO 472 (Rev. 09/16)	Order of Detention Pending Trial	
Lack of Subject Prior fa Prior at Use of Backgr Prior vi	f legal status in the Unite t to removal or deportational tillure to appear in court a ttempt(s) to evade law en alias(es) or false docume round information unknow iolations of probation, pa	on after serving any period of incarceration as ordered aforcement ents with or unverified arole, or supervised release
		other side of the equation, little was presented to the Court to demonstrate ties to a son in the United States, he is an adult.
Part IV - Directions Regarding Detention		
for confinement abeing held in cust with defense couperson in charge	in a corrections facility s stody pending appeal. The unsel. On order of a co	y of the Attorney General or to the Attorney General's designated representative separate, to the extent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences of the defendant must be afforded a reasonable opportunity for private consultation out of the United States or on request of an attorney for the Government, the ty must deliver the defendant to a United States Marshal for the purpose of an acceeding.
Date:	09/16/2019	Kimberly A. Jolson

United States Magistrate Judge